

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,965	03/30/2001	Peter Bernhard Kaars	US000204	1058	
7	7590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER		
Corporate Patent Counsel Philips Electronics North America Corporation			BACKER,	BACKER, FIRMIN	
580 White Plai		porution	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tarrytown, NY 10591			3621		

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$ \mathcal{M}$
Advisory Action	09/821,965	KAARS, PETER BERI	NHARD
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Firmin Backer	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	SS
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to h places the applicatio	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropr unt of the fee. The appropi originally set in the final Off	ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and w or appended.	l an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		0.0	
		Firmin Backer Primary Examiner	
Patent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 3621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argument not persuasive. The final rejection is necessicated be the amendment since the claims have been amended by the applicant.